# Exhibit 3

#### In The Matter Of:

LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.

LEVENTHAL, SHARI D. - Vol. 1
August 18, 2011

\_\_\_\_\_

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION GOVERNED BYPROTECTIVE ORDER

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

225 Varick Street 10th Floor New York, NY 10014 Phone: 212.557.7400 Fax: 212.692.9171

## 08-13555-mg Doc 27167-3 Filed 03/26/12 Entered 03/26/12 15:50:36 Exhibit 3 Pg 3 of 4 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION GOVERNED BY PROTECTIVE ORDER

SHARI D. LEVENTHAL - 8/18/2011

Page 138

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. LEVENTHAL	
2	know that Chase and Barclays themselves were	11:41:42
3	going back and forth. And at some point I	11:41:44
4	know we called a meeting here to bring both	11:41:48
5	sides in to discuss the issue.	11:41:50
6	Q. When you spoke to Chase to	11:41:52
7	understand their position, what was Chase's	11:41:56
8	position?	11:42:00
9	A. That Barclays had committed to	11:42:01
10	take out all of the Lehman exposure, and that	11:42:04
11	Chase was surprised when in fact that didn't	11:42:09
12	happen, and consequently they had felt	11:42:12
13	entitled to put the \$7 billion back into the	11:42:20
14	Lehman account, but as additional collateral	11:42:24
15	for their exposure.	11:42:27
16	Q. What did JPMorgan express to	11:42:28
17	you was the damage that they believed Chase	11:42:32
18	had suffered as a result of Barclays not	11:42:36
19	continuing the financing that Chase believed	11:42:42
20	Barclays had committed to do?	11:42:45
21	A. I think my recollection is	11:42:46
22	that Chase believed that they were that the	11:42:48
23	securities that they were holding as	11:42:51
24	collateral for the credit they had extended to	11:42:53
25	LBI on the 18th, they believed those	11:42:57

## 08-13555-mg Doc 27167-3 Filed 03/26/12 Entered 03/26/12 15:50:36 Exhibit 3 Pg 4 of 4 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION GOVERNED BY PROTECTIVE ORDER

SHARI D. LEVENTHAL - 8/18/2011

Page 139

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. LEVENTHAL	
2	securities were worth far less than the amount	11:43:01
3	that they had extended, than the credit they	11:43:04
4	had extended.	11:43:09
5	Q. So did Chase ever express to	11:43:09
6	you that they believed Barclays' actions had	11:43:12
7	caused JPMorgan to be exposed to the amount of	11:43:17
8	the deficiency between the loan amount and the	11:43:20
9	security value?	11:43:24
10	A. Yeah, I think that's fairly	11:43:24
11	accurate.	11:43:27
12	Q. Did JPMorgan ever express a	11:43:27
13	position that Lehman Brothers was in any way	11:43:32
14	the cause of this deficiency in the value of	11:43:36
15	the securities versus the loan amount?	11:43:43
16	A. Not that I recall.	11:43:45
17	Q. And did anyone from JPMorgan	11:43:45
18	ever blame any particular person at Barclays	11:43:49
19	for creating that exposure?	11:43:52
20	A. No. Not that I recall.	11:43:54
21	Q. And again, did they ever I	11:43:55
22	think you answered but ever name any	11:43:58
23	individual who had made a commitment or	11:44:00
24	from Barclays or caused them to think that	11:44:03
25	Barclays was going to continue that financing?	11:44:06